UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Ariel Perez,

Plaintiff,

-against-

Omnilearn Corp. et al.,

Defendants.

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:_
DATE FILED: 1/24/2023

1:22-cv-00168 (SDA)

ORDER

STEWART D. AARON, UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE:

This case contains claims under the Fair Labor Standards Act. Following a settlement conference with the undersigned, the parties reached a settlement on all issues.

On December 20, 2022, the Court entered an Order directing the parties to provide a copy of the settlement agreement to the Court together with a letter discussing the following factors: (1) the plaintiff's range of possible recovery; (2) the extent to which "the settlement will enable the parties to avoid anticipated burdens and expenses in establishing their respective claims and defenses"; (3) the seriousness of the litigation risks faced by the parties; (4) whether "the settlement agreement is the product of arm's-length bargaining between experienced counsel"; and (5) the possibility of fraud or collusion. (See 12/20/2022 Order, ECF No. 32.) The Order also directed the parties' joint letter to "address whether there is a bona fide dispute as to the number of hours worked or the amount of compensation due and how much of the proposed settlement plaintiff's attorney shall be seeking as fees" and why the settlement is fair and reasonable under Cheeks v. Freeport Pancake House, Inc., 796 F.3d 199, 206 (2d Cir. 2015). (Id.)

On January 9, 2023, an Order was issued on the parties' consent referring disposition of this matter to the undersigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c). (ECF No. 34.)

Case 1:22-cv-00168-SDA Document 37 Filed 01/24/23 Page 2 of 2

On January 20, 2023, the parties submitted their proposed settlement agreement and

related papers. (Settlement Agmt., ECF No. 36.) Having reviewed the proposed settlement and

having participated in the settlement conference, the undersigned finds that it is fair and

reasonable, given both the nature and scope of Plaintiff's individual claims as well as the risks

and expenses involved in additional litigation. See Wolinsky v. Scholastic, Inc., 900 F. Supp. 2d

332, 335-36 (S.D.N.Y. 2012).

In addition, Plaintiff seeks approval of \$15,720 in attorney's fees and costs, which is

approximately 38% of the total settlement amount. (See ECF No. 36 at 2.) The Second Circuit has

approved attorneys' fees in excess of 33% of the total recovery. Fisher v. SD Prot. Inc., 948 F.3d

593, 597, 604 (2d Cir. 2020) (approving attorneys' fees in excess of 33% of the total recovery and

noting that "[i]n most FLSA cases, it does not make sense to limit fees to 33% of the total

settlement.") In line with that precedent, the Court finds that the requested attorney's fees are

fair and reasonable.

For these reasons, the Court approves the settlement. This action is dismissed with

prejudice and without costs except as may be stated in the settlement agreement. The Court will

retain jurisdiction to enforce the settlement agreement. The Clerk of Court is respectfully

requested to close this case.

SO ORDERED.

DATED:

New York, New York

January 24, 2023

STEWART D. AARON

United States Magistrate Judge

Stevet d. aum

2